

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,262	ADLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip A. Johnston	2881	

All Participants:

(1) Phillip A. Johnston.

(2) James Okamoto.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2005

Time: 12:30 PM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final Office Action

Claims discussed:

69

Prior art documents discussed:

6,717,145 and 2001/0010357

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed that adding the limitation "after proper selection of the angle of incidence for the electron beams" to claim 69 would advance the application toward allowance

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOHN R. LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 8800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)